1 2 3 4 5	SJL LAW LLP Julian G. Senior (SBN: 219098) Marcelo Lee (SBN: 271980) Corinne D. Orquiola (SBN 226969) 841 Apollo Street, Suite 300 El Segundo, CA 90245 Tel. No.: 424.290.0720 Fax No.: 424.290.0721 mail@sjllegal.com (service at any other		valid)
6	Attorneys for Defendant KIA AMERIO	CA, INC.	
7			
8		E DISTRICT COUL	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	ALIFORNIA- OAK	LAND DIVISION
10			
11	BETHAN C. LAMB,	CASE NO.: 4:2	2-cv-5434
12	Plaintiff,	Assigned to:	
13		Department:	
14	VS.	DECLARATIO	ON OF JULIAN G.
15	KIA AMERICA, INC, a	SENIOR, IN SU	
16	corporations; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	NOTICE OF R	KIA AMERICA, INC.'S EMOVAL:
17		SUPPORTING	*
18	Defendants.	Action Filed:	August 17, 2022
19		Trial:	None
20			
21			
22	DECLARATION (OF JULIAN G. SEN	NIOR .
23	I, Julian G. Senior, declare as for	llows:	
24	1. I am an attorney admitted	l to practice before a	all courts of the State of
25	California and the United States D	istrict Court for th	e Northern District of
26	California. I am an attorney at SJL Lav	w LLP, attorneys of	record for Kia America,
27	Inc. ("KA"). This declaration is offered	ed in support of KA	's Notice of Removal to
28	the United States District Court for the	he Northern District	of California under 28

- 1 - 4:22-cv-5434

U.S.C. § 1331. I have personal knowledge of all the facts set forth herein, and if called upon to do so by the Court, could and would testify competently thereto. As to those matters stated upon information and belief, I am informed and believe such matters to be true.

- 2. KA was served with a copy of Plaintiff's Summons and Complaint on August 23, 2022, through its designated agent for service of process, CT Corporation System (a corporation service company). It was on that date that KA received, through service or otherwise, a copy of the pleading, Motion, Order or other paper from which it could first be ascertained that the case is one which is removable. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Summons, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Complaint, and the Notice of Case Assignment received by KA is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 3. KA answered Plaintiff's Complaint on September 22, 2022. A true and correct copy of KA's Answer is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
 - 4. No other proceedings have been had in the state court action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 23rd day of September 2022, at El Segundo, California.

/s/ Julian G. Senior
Julian G. Senior
Declarant

- 2 - 4:22-cv-5434

EXHIBIT 1

Case 3:22-cv-05434-JSC Document 1-1 Filed 09/23/22 Page 4 of 35



CT Corporation Service of Process Notification

08/23/2022

CT Log Number 542178855

Service of Process Transmittal Summary

TO: Mark Goldzweig

Kia Motors America, Inc. 111 Peters Canyon Rd Irvine, CA 92606-1790

RE: Process Served in California

FOR: KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC. (Former Name) (Domestic State: CA)

Kia America, Inc. (True Name)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: Re: BETHAN C. LAMB // To: Kia America, Inc.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: Summons, Complaint, Cover Sheet, Notice(s), Certificate

COURT/AGENCY: Alameda County - Superior Court - California, CA

Case # 22CV016334

NATURE OF ACTION: Product Liability Litigation - Lemon Law - 2019 KIA NIRO, VIN: KNDCC3LG8K2014880

PROCESS SERVED ON: C T Corporation System, GLENDALE, CA

DATE/METHOD OF SERVICE: By Process Server on 08/23/2022 at 12:57

JURISDICTION SERVED: California

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: Within 30 calendar days after this summons and legal papers are served on you

ATTORNEY(S)/SENDER(S): Neal F. Morrow III

MFS Legal, Inc.

5318 East 2nd Street #490 Long Beach, CA 90803

562-379-2654

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 08/24/2022, Expected Purge Date:

08/29/2022

Image SOP

Email Notification, Mark Goldzweig Mgoldzweig@kiausa.com

Email Notification, Debbie Avalos davalos@kiausa.com
Email Notification, Wendy Seeley wseeley@kiausa.com
Email Notification, Marisa Sanchez msanchez@kiausa.com

Email Notification, Robert Hyatt rhyatt@kiausa.com

 ${\bf Email\ Notification,\ Samantha\ Hughes\ shughes-contracted@kiausa.com}$

Email Notification, Madeline Skitzki mskitzki-contracted@kiausa.com

REGISTERED AGENT CONTACT: C T Corporation System

330 N BRAND BLVD

STE 700

Case 3:22-cv-05434-JSC Document 1-1 Filed 09/23/22 Page 5 of 35



CT Corporation Service of Process Notification 08/23/2022

CT Log Number 542178855

GLENDALE, CA 91203 866-331-2303 CentralTeam1@wolterskluwer.com

The information contained in this Transmittal is provided by CT for quick reference only. It does not constitute a legal opinion, and should not otherwise be relied on, as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any other information contained in the included documents. The recipient(s) of this form is responsible for reviewing and interpreting the included documents and taking appropriate action, including consulting with its legal and other advisors as necessary. CT disclaims all liability for the information contained in this form, including for any omissions or inaccuracies that may be contained therein.



PROCESS SERVER DELIVERY DETAILS

Date: Server Name: Tue, Aug 23, 2022 GERARDO MARTINEZ

Entity Served	KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC.
Case Number	22CV016334
Jurisdiction	CA

1	Inserts	



SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

IKIA AMERICA, INC. a corporation and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

BETHAN C. LAMB

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

Superior Court of California County of Alameda

08/17/2022

Craid Fine, Executive Officer! Clark of the Court A. Linhares Deputy

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of California, County of Alameda Rene C. Davidson County Courthouse 1225 Fallon Street Oakland, CA 94612

CASE NUMBER: 22CV016334

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): Neal F. Morrow III MFS Legal, Inc. 5318 East 2nd Street #490 Long Beach, CA 90803 (562) 379-2654

DATE: (Fecha) 08/17/2022 Chad Fir	nke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court	Clerk, by (Secretario)	A. Linhares , Deputy (Adjunto)
	mmons, use Proof of Service of Sun sta citatión use el formulario Proof o		S-010)).
SEAL) COURT OF CALIFORNIA OF CALIFORNIA OF ALL OF A	== `	ant. er the fictitious name of (specific field) IA AMERICA, INC, a corporation) efunct corporation) ssociation or partnership)	,

Page 1 of 1

X by personal delivery on (date):

By: Angela Linhares,

Deputy Clerk

1 MFS Legal, Inc. Neal F. Morrow III (SBN 295497) **ELECTRONICALLY FILED** 2 Michael J. Avila (SBN 337521) Superior Court of California, 5318 East 2nd Street #490 3 Long Beach, CA 90803 County of Alameda Tel: (562) 379-2654 08/17/2022 at 11:50:37 AM Email: lawclerk@calemonlawteam.com (contact) eservice@calemonlawteam.com (documents) 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 BETHAN C. LAMB SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 10 -1 1-BETHAN C. LAMB; Case No.: -22@V-016334 12 Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF 13 VS. 14 KIA AMERICA, INC, a corporation; and 15 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff, BETHAN C. LAMB, an individual alleges as follows: 19 1. Plaintiff is an individual residing in the City of OAKLAND, County of ALAMEDA, and the 20 State of CALIFORNIA. 21 2. Defendant KIA AMERICA, INC is registered to do business in the State of CALIFORNIA. 22 Plaintiff does not know the true names and capacities, whether corporate, partnership, 23 associate, individual, or otherwise of Defendants issued herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, 24 under the provisions of section 474 of the California Code of Civil Procedure. Defendant Does 1 25 through 10, inclusive, are in some manner responsible for the acts, occurrences and 26 transactions set forth herein, and are legally liable to Plaintiff. Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this 27 28 PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

2324

2526

27

28

Complaint to set forth the true names and capacities of the fictitiously named Defendant together with appropriate charging allegations when ascertained.

- 4. All acts of corporate employees as alleged were authorized or ratified by an officer, director or managing agent of the corporate employer.
- 5. Each Defendant whether actually or fictitiously named herein was the principal, agent (actual or ostensible) or employee of each other Defendant and in acting as such principal or within the course and scope of such employment or agency, took some part in the acts and omissions hereinafter set forth by reason of which each Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for the relief prayed for herein.
- 6. On JULY 07, 2019, Plaintiff leased a 2019 KIA NIRO, VIN: KNDCC3LG8K2014880 ("vehicle").

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(VIOLATION OF THE SONG-BEVERLY CONSUMER WARRANTY ACT) – BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTIES

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 7. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding and succeeding paragraphs as though herein fully restated and realleged.
 - 8. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length below.
 - 9. Plaintiff is a "buyer" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(b).
 - 10. The vehicle is a "consumer good" as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(a).
 - 11. KIA AMERICA, INC is a "warrantor" as contemplated by Ca. Civ. Code § 1795.
 - 12. Plaintiff's purchase of the Vehicle was a lease as defined by Cal. Civ. Code §1791(n).
- 13. Defendants violated the Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act by failing to conform the Vehicle to the express written warranties within a reasonable number of repair attempts or within the warranty periods, and by failing to promptly replace the vehicle or make restitution to Plaintiff.

14. On 04/16/2021 Subject Vehicle was presented to Oakland Kia 25619 miles on it, the
Plaintiff indicated that a warning light had come on. The vehicle was at the repair facility for
20 days and drove it 81 miles. On 05/07/2021 Subject Vehicle was presented to Oakland Kia
vith 25729. Plaintiff stated that the warning light was coming on. The repair facility found a
ode for high voltage deviation and replaced the EV high voltage battery pack. The vehicle was
n the shop for 38 days. The defects, malfunctions, and nonconformities that were presented to
Defendant's authorized repair facilities multiple times and substantially impair the use, value,
nd/or safety of the Vehicle.
15. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1793.2(d), Defendants must refund the price of the Vehicle
o Plaintiff.
16. Pursuant to-Cal. Civ. Code §-1-794(a), Plaintiff-is-entitled-to-restitution.
17. As a direct and proximate result of said violations of the Song-Beverly Act, Plaintiff has
ustained and continues to sustain, actual, incidental, and consequential damages in the
pproximate amount of the purchase price according to proof at trial.
18. The failure of Defendants to comply with the Song-Beverly Act was willful in that they

19. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1794(c), Plaintiff is entitled to a civil penalty of two times the amount of Plaintiff's actual damages.

had actual knowledge of the Vehicle's defects, malfunctions, and nonconformities, knew of its

legal duties under the warranty act, but repeatedly refused to make necessary repairs and/or

20. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1794(d), Plaintiff is entitled to attorney's fees and expenses reasonably incurred in connection with this action.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

provide compensation to the plaintiff.

BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY (SONG BEVERLY) AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

21. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length below.

- 22. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1792, the Vehicle was accompanied by each Defendants' implied warranty that the goods are merchantable.
- 23. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1793, and because of the existence of the express warranty, Defendants may not disclaim, limit, or modify the implied warranties provided by the Song-Beverly Act.
- 24. Defendants breached the implied warranty of merchantability as stated in Cal. Civ. Code \$1791.1 and 1792 in the vehicle has malfunctions, and nonconformities render the Vehicle unfit for the ordinary purposes for which it is used, and it would not pass without objection in the trade.
 - 25. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1794(a), Plaintiff is entitled to restitution.
- 26. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of the implied warranty of merchantability, Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain, actual, incidental, and consequential damages in the approximate amount of the purchase price according to proof at trial.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of said violations of the Song-Beverly Act, Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain, actual, incidental, and consequential damages in the approximate amount of the purchase price according to proof at trial.
- 28. Pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code §1794(d), Plaintiff is entitled to attorney's fees and expenses reasonably incurred in connection with this action.
 - 29. Plaintiff is a "buyer" of consumer goods under the Act.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY – COMMERCIAL CODE – AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 30. Plaintiff reincorporates the above allegations as if set forth at length below.
- 31. Defendant gave plaintiff a written warranty that the vehicle would be (a) free from defects of material and workmanship and (2) defendant would remedy the vehicle timely for any defects by presenting the vehicle to any authorized repair center.

- 32. The subject vehicle did not perform as promised and did not meet the quality of the written warranty, nor meet the standard described in the written warranty.
- 33. Plaintiff took reasonable steps to notify Defendants that the vehicle was not as represented.
- 34. Defendants failed to honor the terms of its express warranty
- 35. Plaintiff was harmed as a result.
- 36. The failure of the vehicles to be as represented was a substantial factor is causing Plaintiff's harm
- 37. Plaintiff is entitled to damages provided by Com Code for breach of warranty being the difference at the time and place of acceptance between the value of the vehicle as accepted and the value they would have had if they had been as warranted.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of the Federal Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act - Against All Defendants)

- 38. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding and succeeding paragraphs as though herein fully restated and realleged.
- 39. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined in the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act (referred to as "Mag-Moss"), 15 U.S.C. § 2301(3).
- 40. Defendant, KIA AMERICA, INC is a "supplier" and "warrantor" as defined in the Mag-Moss Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(4), 15 U.S.C. § 2301(5).
- 41. The vehicle is a "consumer product" as defined in the Mag-Moss Act, 15 U.S.C. §2301(1). In addition to the express warranty, in connection with the lease of the

vehicle to Plaintiff, an implied warranty of merchantability was created under California law. The vehicle's implied warranties were not disclaimed using a Buyer's Guide displayed on the vehicle; thus any purported disclaimers were ineffective pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 2308(c).

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS

- 42. Plaintiff re-alleges the above allegations as if set forth below in full.
- 43. Plaintiff and Defendants are each "person[s]" as defined by California Business & Professions Code § 17201. California Bus. & Prof. Code § 17204 authorizes a private right of action on both an individual and representative basis.
- 44. "Unfair competition" is defined by Business and Professions Code Section § 17200 as encompassing several types of business "wrongs," many of which are at issue here:
 - (1) an "unlawful" business act or practice,
 - (2) an "unfair" business act or practice,
 - (3) a "fraudulent" business act or practice, and
 - (4) "unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."
- 45. The definitions in § 17200 are drafted in the disjunctive, meaning that each of these "wrongs" operates independently from the others.
- 46. Plaintiff has no speedy adequate remedy at law and damages would not adequately compensate her for the ongoing wrongs committed by Defendants.
- 47. By and through Defendant's conduct alleged in further detail above and herein,

 Defendants engaged in conduct which constitutes (a) unlawful and (b) unfair business

 practices prohibited by Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.

"UNLAWFUL" PRONG

- 48. As a result of Defendant's acts and practices described herein, Defendants have violated California's Unfair Competition Law, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq., which provides a cause of action for an "unlawful" business act or practice perpetrated on members of the California public.
- 49. Defendants have other reasonably available alternatives to further its legitimate business interest, other than the conduct described above
- 50. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege other violations of law, which constitute other unlawful business practices or acts, as such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date.

"UNFAIR" PRONG.

- 51. Defendant's actions and representations constitute an "unfair" business act or practice under § 17200 in that Defendant's conduct is substantially injurious to consumers, offends public policy, and is immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous as the gravity of the conduct outweighs any alleged benefits attributable to such conduct. Without limitation, it is an unfair business act or practice for Defendants to knowingly or negligently
 - (1) fail to provide repair facilities to service vehicles to conform to the express warranties reasonably close to where Defendant's vehicle is sold,
 - (2) provide their authorized repair facilities service and repair literature to allow them to conform the vehicles to the express warranties,
 - (3) inform consumers of their warranty rights on their repair orders, and
 - (4) pay their authorized repair facilities for work done under the express warranty.
 - (5) Trying to coerce Plaintiff and other members of the public to sign confidentiality clauses and
- 52. At a date presently unknown to Plaintiff, but at least four years prior to the filing of this action, and as set forth above, Defendants have committed acts of unfair competition as

- defined by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq., as alleged further detail above and herein.
- 53. Plaintiff could not have reasonably avoided the injury suffered herein. Plaintiff reserves the right to allege further conduct that constitutes other unfair business acts or practices. Such conduct is ongoing and continues to this date, as Defendants continue to violate the provisions of the Song-Beverly act.

"FRAUDULENT" PRONG

- 54. California Business & Professions Code § 17200 prohibits any "fraudulent ... business act or practice." In order to prevail under the "fraudulent" prong of the UCL, a consumer must allege that the fraudulent business practice was likely to deceive members of the public.
- 55. The test for "fraud" as contemplated by California Business and Professions Code § 17200 is whether the public is likely to be deceived. Unlike common law fraud, a § 17200 violation can be established even if no one was actually deceived, relied upon the fraudulent practice, or sustained any damage.
- 56. Here, consumers are likely to be deceived by the acts described above in this complaint.
- 57. California consumers were never informed of their rights pursuant to the Song-Beverly

 Acts standards.
- 58. In addition, Defendant's oral misrepresentations also deceived Plaintiff to believe that Plaintiff would receive a benefit by purchasing a Vehicle from them under warranty.
- 59. Despite the passage of time, Plaintiff has received no such benefit from the warranty of the vehicle.
- 60. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to bring Defendants in conformity with the law.
- 61. Plaintiff is further entitled to preliminary relief to enforce Defendants' compliance with its legal obligations during the pendency of the within action as Plaintiff has both no adequate remedy at law, has and continues to suffer irreparable injury, and damages would be completely inadequate.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 - 8 9 10 12 13 14 15 16 17 .18 19 20 21 22 23 -24 25 26 27

28

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS FOR RELIEF AS FOLLOWS:

- (1) A declaration that the sales contract is rescinded;
- (2) A declaration that Defendants have been given a reasonable number of repair attempts and/or days to conform the Vehicle to the warranty;
- (3) Actual damages of \$39,300.00 according to proof;
- (4) Consequential and incidental damages according to proof;
- (5) Restitution of all consideration given by Plaintiff and or paid out toward the Vehicle.
- (6) Civil Penalties under the Song-Beverly Act in the amount of two times actual damages;
- (7) Reasonable attorney's fees according to statute and contract.
- (8) Prejudgment interest at the highest maximum legal rate;
- (9) A temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunction; ...
- (10) Costs and expenses reasonably incurred in connection with this action; and
- (11) Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: August 4, 2022

MFS Legal, Inc.

Neal F. Morrow III Attorney for Plaintiff

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar n Neal F. Morrow III (SBN 295497)	umber, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY
MFS Legal, Inc.		ELECTRONICALLY ELLED
5318 East 2nd Street #490 Long Beach, CA 90803 TELEPHONE NO.: (562) 379-2654	FAX NO. (Optional): 5622651112	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
E-MAIL ADDRESS:		Superior Court of California,
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): BETHAN C. LAMB		County of Alameda
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY C STREET ADDRESS: 1225 Fallon Street	OF ALAMEDA	
MAILING ADDRESS:		08/17/2022 at 11:50:37 AM
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Oakland, CA 94612		By: Angela Linhares,
BRANCH NAME: Rene C. Davidson County Courtho	use	Deputy Clerk
CASE NAME:		
BETHAN C. LAMB v. KIA AMERICA, INC	, et. al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
□ Unlimited □ Limited	Counter Joinder	22CV016334
(Amount (Amount	Filed with first appearance by defendant	
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	JUDGE:
	low must be completed (see instructions or	DEPT.:
1. Check one box below for the case type that		, pago 2).
Auto Tort	Contract F	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
·- Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	liscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25)	☐ Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05)	Aiscellaneous Civil Petition
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is not comple	ex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules	of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	_	
a. Large number of separately repre		
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more
issues that will be time-consuming	onurt.	counties, states, or countries, or in a federal
c. U Substantial amount of documenta	iv evidence	stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.		claratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5		
	ass action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file a	nd serve a notice of related case. (You may	v use form CM-015.)
Date: August 2, 2022		11/1/1/1/1/1
Neal F. Morrow III		NATURE OF PARTY OF ATTORNEY FOR PARTY
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	NATORE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fit		except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or V		of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.	ashed washed by the Control of	
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cove If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et s 		ist serve a convict this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.	seq. or the Camornia Rules of Court, you fill	- Copy of this cover sheet off all
Unless this is a collections case under rule	3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet	will be used for statistical purposes only.

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California CM-010 [Rev.September 1, 2021]

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

Page 1 of 2

Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.220, 3.400–3.403, 3.740;
Cal. Standards of Judicial Administration, std. 3.10

www.courts.ca.gov



INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

CM-010 To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3,740 Collections Cases, A "collections case" under rule 3,740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3,740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

the case is complex. Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23)

Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress**

Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)

Fraud (16)

Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease,

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wronaful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31) Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)

Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28)

Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims

> (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations)

Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only

Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)

Other Petition (not specified above) (43)

Civil Harassment Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest**

Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late

Claim Other Civil Petition

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	FILED
Rene C. Davidson Courthouse	Superior Court of California
Administration Building, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612	County of Alameda 08/17/2022
PLAINTIFF(S):	Chad Flike , Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court
Bethan C. Lamb	By: A. Linhares Deputy
DEFENDANT(S):	
KIA AMERICA, INC, a corporation	
	CASE NUMBER:
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT	22CV016334

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Pursuant to Rule 3.734 of the California Rules of Court and Title 3 Chapter 2 of the Local Rules of the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, this action is hereby assigned by the Presiding Judge for all purposes to:

ASSIGNED JUDGE: Jeffrey Brand

DEPARTMENT:

22

LOCATION:

Rene C. Davidson Courthouse

Administration Building, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612

PHONE NUMBER:

(510) 267-6938

FAX NUMBER:

EMAIL ADDRESS:

Dept22@alameda.courts.ca.gov

Under direct calendaring, this case is assigned to a single judge for all purposes including trial.

Please note: In this case, any challenge pursuant to Code of Civil Procedures section 170.6 must be exercised within the time period by law. (See Code of Civ. Proc. §§ 170.6, subd. (a.)(2) and 101.3)

NOTICE OF NONAVAILABILITY OF COURT REPORTERS: Effective June 4, 2012, the court will not provide a court reporter for civil law and motion hearings, any other hearing or trial in civil departments, or any afternoon hearing in Department 201 (probate). Parties may arrange and pay for the attendance of a certified shorthand reporter. In limited jurisdiction cases, parties may request electronic recording. Amended Local Rule 3.95 states: "Except as otherwise required by law, in general civil case and probate departments, the services of an official court reporter are not normally available. For civil trials, each party must serve and file a statement before the trial date indicating whether the party requests the presence of an official court reporter."

GENERAL PROCEDURES

Following assignment of a civil case to a specific department, all pleadings, papers, forms, documents and writings can be submitted for filing at either Civil Clerk's Office, located at the Rene C. Davidson Courthouse, Room 109, 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, California, 94612, and the Hayward Hall of Justice, 24405 Amador Street, Hayward, California, 94544 and through Civil e-filing. Information regarding Civil e-filing can be found on the courts website. All documents, with the exception of the original summons and the original civil complaint, shall have clearly typed on the face page of each document, under the case number, the following:

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO	
UDGE Jeffrey Brand	
DEPARTMENT 22	

All parties are expected to know and comply with the Local Rules of this Court, which are available on the court's website at http://www.alameda.courts.ca.gov/Pages.aspx/Local-Rules(1) and with the California Rules of Court, which are available at www.courtinfo.ca.gov.

Parties must meet and confer to discuss the effective use of mediation or other alternative dispute processed (ADR) prior to the Initial Case Management Conference. The court encourages parties to file a "Stipulation to Attend ADR and Delay Initial Case Management Conference for 90 Days." The court's website contains this form and other ADR information. If the parties do not stipulate to attend ADR, the parties must be prepared to discuss referral to ADR at the Initial Case Management Conference.

COURT RESERVATIONS

The use of the Court Reservation System (CRS) is now mandated in many civil courtrooms within the Alameda County Superior Court. Instead of calling or emailing the courtroom to make a reservation, parties with a case assigned to a courtroom using CRS are directed to utilize CRS to make and manage their own reservations, within parameters set by the courtrooms. CRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week and reservations can be made from a computer or smart phone. Please note, you are prohibited from reserving more than one hearing date for the same motion.

Prior to scheduling any motion on CRS, including any Applications for Orders for Appearance and Examination, or continuing any motion, please review the online information (if any) for the courtroom in which you are reserving. There may be specific and important conditions associated with certain motions and proceedings. Information is available on the court's eCourt Public Portal at www.eportal.alameda.courts.ca.gov.

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

A. Linhares, Deputy Clerk

By

Case 3:22-cv-05434-JSC Document 1-1 Filed 09/23/22 Page 21 of 35

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	FILED Superior Court of California
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Rene C. Davidson Courthouse Administration Building, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612	County of Alameda 08/17/2022
PLAINTIFF: Bethan C. Lamb DEFENDANT: KIA AMERICA, INC, a corporation	Chad Flike , Executive Office / Clerk of the Cour By: A. Linhares Deputy
NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	CASE NUMBER: 22CV016334

TO THE PLAINTIFF(S)/ATTORNY(S) FOR PLAINTIFF(S) OF RECORD:

You are ordered to serve all named defendants and file proofs of service on those defendants with the court within 60 days of the filing of the complaint (Cal. Rules of Court, 3.110(b)).

Give notice of this conference to all other parties and file proof of service.

Your Case Management Conference has been scheduled on:

Date: 01/09/2023	Time: 2:30 PM	Dept.: 22	
 Location: Rene C: Day		ık Street, Oakland, CA	94612

TO DEFENDANT(S)/ATTORNEY(S) FOR DEFENDANT(S) OF RECORD:

The setting of the Case Management Conference does not exempt the defendant from filing a responsive pleading as required by law, you must respond as stated on the summons.

TO ALL PARTIES who have appeared before the date of the conference must:

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, 3.725, a completed Case Management Statement (Judicial Council form CM-110) must be filed and served at least 15 calendar days before the Case Management Conference. The Case Management Statement may be filed jointly by all parties/attorneys of record or individually by each party/attorney of record.

Meet and confer, in person or by telephone as required by Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.724.

Post jury fees as required by Code of Civil Procedure section 631.

If you do not follow the orders above, the court may issue an order to show cause why you should not be sanctioned under Cal. Rules of Court, rule 2.30. Sanctions may include monetary sanctions, striking pleadings or dismissal of the action.

The judge may place a Tentative Case Management Order in your case's on-line register of actions before the conference. This order may establish a discovery schedule, set a trial date or refer the case to Alternate Dispute Resolution, such as mediation or arbitration. Check the court's eCourt Public Portal for each assigned department's procedures regarding tentative case management orders at https://eportal.alameda.courts.ca.gov.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	Reserved for Clerk's File Stamp	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS: Rene C. Davidson Courthouse 1225 Fallon Street, Oakland, CA 94612	FILE D Superior Court of California County of Alameda 08/17/2022	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Bethan C. Lamb	Chad Flike , Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court By: A. Linhares Deputy	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: KIA AMERICA, INC, a corporation		
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING	CASE NUMBER: 22CV016334	

I, the below-named Executive Officer/Clerk of the above-entitled court, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the cause herein, and that on this date I served the Notice of Case Management Conference upon each party or counsel named below by placing the document for collection and mailing so as to cause it to be deposited in the United States mail at the courthouse in Oakland, California, one copy of the original filed/entered herein in a separate sealed envelope to each address as shown below with the postage thereon fully prepaid, in accordance with standard court practices.

-Neal F. Morrow MFS Legal Inc. 5318 E 2nd Street #490 Long Beach, CA 90803

Dated: 08/17/2022

Chad Finke, Executive Officer / Clerk of the Court

By:

A. Linhares, Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT 2

Marcelo Lee (SBN: 271980) Corinne D. Orquiola (SBN: 226969) 841 Apollo Street, Suite 300 El Segundo, CA 90245 Tel. No.: 424.290.0720 Fax No.: 424.290.0721 mail@sjllegal.com (service at any other email address is invalid)	
6 Attorneys for Defendant KIA AMERICA, INC.	
7	
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10	
11 DETUANIC LAMB	
12 BETHAN C. LAMB,) CASE NO.: 22CV016334	
Plaintiff,) Assigned to: Hon. Jeffrey E) Department: 22	Brand
14 vs.) DEFENDANT KIA AMERIC	CV INC. '8
15 KIA AMERICA, INC, a corporations;) ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S	S COMPLAINT
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,) FOR DAMAGES AND INJURY) RELIEF; JURY DEMAND	UNCTIVE
Defendants.) Action Filed: August 17, 20	122
18) Trial: None	<i>,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
19	
Defendant Kia America ("KA"), for itself alone and for no other par	rties, hereby
21 answers Plaintiff Bethan C. Lamb's Complaint for Damages and Injunctiv	/e
22 Relief as follows:	
Under the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure, Section 431.30(c	d), KA denies
24 each and every allegation, both specifically and generally, of each cause	e of action
25 contained in Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the whole thereof and	d denies that
26 Plaintiff was damaged in any sum or sums, or at all.	
27 ///	
28 ///	

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 (Failure to State a Cause of Action) 2 1. Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of 3 action against KA. 4 5 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Statute of Limitation) 6 2. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff is 7 barred from bringing this action by the statutes of limitation contained in Code of Civil 8 9 Procedure, Sections 337, 338, 339 and 340 and Commercial Code, Section 2725. 10 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 11 (Misuse, Abuse, Unauthorized or Unreasonable Use) 12 3. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff and/or others misused or abused the subject vehicle, or engaged in unauthorized or 13 unreasonable use of the subject vehicle, contrary to KA's approval or consent and 14 Plaintiff's damages, if any, were proximately caused by such abuse and neglect of the 15 16 vehicle. 17 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 18 (No Defect - Warranty Exclusion) 19 4. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the damages 20 asserted in Plaintiff's Complaint were not the result of any defect in material or 21 workmanship in any vehicle distributed by KA or that the subject vehicle's use, safety or 22 value was impaired. Specifically, KA alleges that after appropriate discovery, one or 23 more of the stated specific warranty exclusions may be applicable. 24 111 25 111 26 111 27 111

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 (Lack of Maintenance) KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff is 5. 3 precluded from recovery by reason of Plaintiff's failure to maintain and service the 4 5 subject vehicle in conformance with the requirements and recommendations of the owner's manual and/or warranty booklet. 6 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 7 (Terms of Limited Warranty) 8 6. 9 KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that by the terms of the limited warranty for the subject vehicle, KA is not liable for incidental or 10 11 consequential damages. 12 SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Third Party Dispute Resolution Process) 13 7. 14 KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff and/or 15 the owner of the subject vehicle received timely notice of the availability of a third-party 16 dispute resolution process, and that no effort was made to use such process. 17 **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 18 (No Civil Penalty) 19 8. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that it possesses a 20 qualified third-party dispute resolution process, thereby barring Plaintiff from any civil 21 penalty in this lawsuit. 22 NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 23 (Unclean Hands) 24 9. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff is 25 barred in whole or in part under the doctrine of unclean hands. 26 /// 27 111

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 2 (Fit for Intended Purpose) 10. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the subject 3 vehicle remained fit for its intended purpose of providing transportation. Accordingly, 4 5 Plaintiff is not entitled to relief for breach of the implied warranty of merchantability. **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** 6 (No Representation Beyond Express Warranty) 7 11. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that it did not 8 9 represent to Plaintiff that the subject vehicle had characteristics and benefits it did not have and/or that its express warranty conferred or involved remedies or obligations it 10 did not have. 11 12 TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 13 (Requisite Repair Attempts) 12. 14 KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the subject 15 vehicle has not been out of service the requisite number of days or subject to repair for 16 the same nonconformity the requisite number of times by KA within eighteen months 17 from delivery to Plaintiff or the accrual of 18,000 miles on the odometer. 18 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 19 (Mitigation of Damages) 20 13. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff has 21 made no efforts to attempt to mitigate any damages or protect the value of the subject 22 vehicle, and as such, any damages awarded would be reduced accordingly. 23 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 24 (Alteration of Subject Vehicle) 25 14. The subject vehicle was not defective or unmerchantable when it left the 26 possession, custody and control of KA. Any damage was caused by changes and 27 alterations made to the subject vehicle by persons other than KA. 111 28

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 (No Written Notice) 2 15. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff is 3 barred from the recovery of a civil penalty by reason of Plaintiff's failure to serve written 4 5 notice pursuant to Civil Code, Section 1794(e)(3). SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 (No Opportunity to Cure) 7 16. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff has 8 9 failed to provide reasonable opportunity to cure any alleged defect as required by 15 10 United States Code, Section 2310(e). 11 SEVENTEETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 12 (No Magnuson-Moss Violation) 17. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Plaintiff has 13 14 not utilized KA's qualified third party dispute resolution program as required in order to 15 assert a cause of action under the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 16 2031 et seq. 17 **EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** (Prevention of Performance) 18 19 18. KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that KA was prevented and/or delayed from performing the obligations alleged in Plaintiff's 20 21 Complaint by Plaintiff and/or others. Thus, the performance of the obligations or the 22 delay thereof, if any, are excused and/or KA is entitled to all benefits which it would 23 have obtained if performance had been completed. 24 <u>NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u> 25

(Delay Due to Conditions beyond Control)

KA is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the delay in 19. service or repair of the subject vehicle, if any, was caused by conditions beyond the control of KA, and the subject vehicle was returned as soon as possible following the

26

27

28

termination of these conditions. 1 TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 (Additional Affirmative Defenses) 3 20. KA alleges that it may have additional affirmative defenses available that 4 5 are not now fully known. KA reserves the right to assert additional affirmative defenses 6 after they have been determined. WHEREFORE, KA prays as follows: 7 1. For dismissal of Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice; 8 2. 9 For judgment in favor of KA against Plaintiff; 10 3. For the costs of suit herein; and, 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 11 12 DATED: September 22, 2022 13 SJL LAW LLP 14 Orguirla 15 By: 16 Julian G. Senior Marcelo Lee 17 Corinne D. Orquiola Attorneys for Defendant 18 KIA AMERICA, INC. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JURY DEMAND Defendant KIA AMERICA, INC. demands a jury trial. DATED: September 22, 2022 SJL LAW LLP By: Julian G. Senior Marcelo Lee Corinne D. Orquiola Attorneys for Defendant KIA AMERICA, INC.

1 2	PROOF OF SERVICE CCP 1013A(3) (Revised 5/1/88)			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES			
4 5	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 841 Apollo Street, Suite 300, El Segundo, CA 90245.			
6	On September 22, 2022, I served the foregoing documents described as DEFENDANT KIA AMERICA , INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ; JURY DEMAND on all interested parties in this action as follows:			
8	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST			
BY MAIL (CCP §1013(a) and §2015.5): I served the documents by penvelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practice readily familiar with the firm's business practice for collecting and processing of for mailing. On the same day the document is placed for collection and made deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage data than 1 day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.	BY MAIL (CCP §1013(a) and §2015.5): I served the documents by placing the envelope for collection and mailing following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's business practice for collecting and processing documents for mailing. On the same day the document is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage date is more than 1 day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.			
13 14 15 16	BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY (CCP §1013(c) and §2015.5): I sealed such documents in separate envelopes to each addressee and deposited each for collection by mailing via overnight mail/next day delivery in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the U.S. Postal Service or an Express Service carrier, or delivered to an authorized carrier or driver authorized by the U.S. Postal Service or an Express service carrier to receive documents, with delivery fees paid or provided.			
17 18 19 20	document(s) were transmitted by facsimile transmission to each of the parties at the facsimile number(s) listed on the attached service list and the transmission(s) reported as complete and without error. The facsimile machine I used complied with the California Rules of Court, Rule 2.306(g) and I printed a record of the transmission(s),			
21	BY ELECTRONIC MAIL (CRC 2.251 and CCP §1010.6(a)): The document(s), listed <i>supra</i> , were served electronically and the transmission was reported as complete and without error to each of the parties at the e-mail address(es) listed below.			
23	Executed on September 22, 2022, at El Segundo, California.			
24 25 26				
27 28	Johncen Gonzales			

SERVICE/MAILING LIST BETHAN C. LAMB v. KIA MOTORS AMERICA, INC. Alameda County Superior Court Case No.: 22CV016334 Attorneys for Plaintiff John C. Feely Neal F. Morrow III MFS Legal Inc. BETHAN C. LAMB 5318 East 2nd Street, #490 Long Beach, CA 90803 Tel. No.: 562.307.8055 Fax No.: 562.265.1112 Email: eservice@calemonlawteam.com





Order #18969613: eFiling

Submitted: 9/22/2022 11:14 AM PT



Under court clerk review

Court Transaction #22AA00119908

9/22/2022 11:14 AM PT

MESSAGE FROM ONE LEGAL: The court has received your filing. This status will be updated and you will receive an email immediately upon completion of the court clerk's review. Although court processing times vary, the court filing date for accepted filings will reflect the date this order was submitted.

Documents

Returned (0)

Documents will be available here once they are provided by the court's system.

Your Files (1)

Document Title	Document Type	Pages	Status
Answer	Answer	9	Uploaded

Case Information

Court

Alameda County, Superior Court of California (Oakland Civil)

Number

22CV016334

Title

LAMB vs KIA AMERICA, INC, A CORPORATION

Confirmation Receipt #27272321

Version: 7.0.1030 | Customer #0121200

Accessibility statement (https://www.onelegal.com/accessibility/) | Privacy policy

(https://www.onelegal.com/privacy/) | Terms of service (https://www.onelegal.com/terms/)

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE 1 I hereby certify that on September 23, 2022 a true and correct copy of 2 the foregoing DECLARATION OF JULIAN G. SENIOR, IN SUPPORT 3 OF DEFENDANT KIA AMERICA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL; 4 SUPPORTING EXHIBITS 1-2 was filed with the Clerk using the CM/ECF 5 system, which will provide notice to the following counsel of record: 6 7 John C. Feely **Attorneys for Plaintiff** 8 Neal F. Morrow III 9 MFS Legal Inc. BETHAN C. LAMB 5318 East 2nd Street, #490 10 Long Beach, CA 90803 11 Tel. No.: 562.307.8055 12 Fax No.: 562.265.1112 Email: eservice@calemonlawteam.com 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28